

Copyright is owned by the Author of the thesis. Permission is given for a copy to be downloaded by an individual for the purpose of research and private study only. The thesis may not be reproduced elsewhere without the permission of the Author.

IN PURSUIT OF THE SUBJECT OF HAPPINESS:

A GENEALOGY

A thesis presented in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the degree of

Masters

in

Psychology

at Massey University, Palmerston North,

New Zealand.

Christine Beckett

2005

Abstract

This study is a Foucauldian genealogy of the truth and knowledge produced by the Science of Happiness, which is part of the Positive Psychology movement; this is a an historical analytic of the scientific truths and knowledges of the present. Psychological well-being, or happiness, has become a legitimate, and burgeoning, object of scientific inquiry since the formation of the Positive Psychology movement in 2000. The first section reviews the literature for findings from the new Science of Psychology and also reviews the literature on the use of the methodology of genealogy as a research practice. The second section contains the genealogy which, following Foucault's advice, starts with Descartes and the ruptures of the Enlightenment, following the transition of happiness from a matter of luck or chance or the gift of the gods, to a matter that man has control over and the Science of Psychology has an interest in. This section takes fragments of text from Descartes, Spinoza, Bacon, Locke, Blackstone, Jefferson, Bentham, Mill, Carlyle, Dickens, and Skinner to build the genealogy and to show the contingencies of the past that brought us to the scientific 'truths' of the present. The final section briefly reviews the genealogy and explores the trajectories for future work on the subjectivity produced by the scientific subject of happiness.

INTRODUCTION	5
PRELUDE TO A GENEALOGY OF HAPPINESS	9
Reflection On The Research Practice	9
The Practice of Reflection	9
The Practice of Thesis Writing For Academic Gain Within The University	10
Review of the Literature On Happiness	13
The Construct: Subjective Well-Being or Happiness	14
Genetics, Looks, Personality and Happiness	14
Life, Lifestyle, The Comparison Effect and Happiness	15
Life Events, Coping, Goals and Happiness	15
Utility, Consequences of Happiness	16
The Tools and Units of Happiness Measurement	17
The Periodicity of Happiness Measurement	18
The Teachability of Happiness	19
Bottom Lining the Empirical Work on Happiness	21
Toward Genealogy as the Methodology	22
The Academic Practice of Genealogy	22
On The Genealogy of the Practice	25
Nietzsche's Genealogy and Foucault's Faithful or Unfaithful Appropriation	26
Genealogy versus History	28
Foucault's Genealogy	29
Nietzsche, Genealogy, History	30
Discipline and Punish	32
Two Lectures and Questions of Method	36
Other Contributions to Approaching Foucault's Genealogy	37
A GENEALOGY OF HAPPINESS	39
Words Shift And So Too Does Desire	39
Starting With Descartes	43
Descartes' Dutch Republic	43
A Side Track to Matters of Faith, Discipline, and Salvation	45
Foucault's Pastoral Power and Care of the Soul	49
Back to Descartes and the Genealogy of Happiness	52
The Unheard Voice of Spinoza	63
The Cartesians, The Church, The University, The Truth	73
The Cartesians, The Republic, The People, The Scientific Consumer	77
Backtrack to Bacon	79

Toward The Declaration Of Independence	88
Locke: Property, Happiness and Liberty	88
Blackstone, Commentaries, Happiness	97
Declarations of Rights and Independence	101
The Utility of Happiness	107
Bentham, Pleasure, Pain	107
Mill, Carlyle, Dickens, Depression	113
The Science of Happiness	122
The History of Psychology in 100 Words or More	122
Straight to Skinner	125
Psychology After Skinner	131
21 st Century Popular Science of Happiness	132
The Science of Happiness on the World Wide Web	138
A BRIEF REVIEW OF THE GENEALOGY AND ITS TRAJECTORIES	142
Fragments From The Archive	142
The Shifting Meanings of the Word – A Discursive View	145
The Unexplored Trajectories For The Pursuit of The Subject of Happiness	148
Abytropic Discourse and Power	148
A Shifting Framework for Formation of the Ethical Subject	150
The Happy Consuming Subject, Bio-Power	154
CONCLUSION.....	157
REFERENCES	159

INTRODUCTION

In the last 30 or so years the discipline of psychology has become interested in happiness. Correlations documented include: People who live in wealthier countries are happier (Hagerty & Veenhoven, 2003). People with achievable goals are happier (Diener, Suh, Lucas & Smith, 1999). People who are extraverted are happier (Fleeson, Malano, & Achille, 2002; DeNeve, 1999). People who believe they control their own lives and like that idea are happier (DeNeve & Cooper, 1998). People with high self esteem are happier, (DeNeve & Cooper, 1998, Baumeister, Campbell, Krueger & Vohs, 2003).

Psychology has also found that people who are happier are less susceptible to disease (Myers & Diener, 1996) and go to the doctor for medication less (Scshwenzfeier, Rigdon, Hill, Anderson & Seelert, 2002). Diener (2000) summarised the studies of happiness by saying happy people participate more in the community, are liked more, live longer, perform better at work and earn more.

On this basis psychology suggests it is good to be happy. As empirical research continues to build upon current knowledge, psychology thinks it can make more of the people happier, more of the time. Two of the most active researchers in the field Martin Seligman and Mihaly Csikszentmihalyi promote a new form of psychology, positive psychology, a psychology that focuses on preventing pathology by offering tools to improve emotional and mental well being. They say that in the past psychology has had an exclusive focus on pathology and that pathology arises where there is a lack of well being (Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000).

A science of positive subjective experience, positive individual traits, and positive institutions promises to improve quality of life and prevent the pathologies that arise when life is barren and meaningless. (Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000, p5)

Psychology has found that people seem to have a genetic set point for happiness so that lottery wins and paraplegic bodies are unnoticed (or at least adjusted to) over an individual lifetime (Brickman, Coates & Janoff-Bulman, 1978; Silver, 1982, cited in Diener, 2000; Wolsic & Fujita, 1995; Lykken & Tellegen, 1996) but while 50% of the variation in current happiness is heritable, like cholesterol, happiness is genetically influenced but not fixed (Lykken & Tellegen, 1996). Psychologists can help influence "normal" people toward happiness.

If psychologists wish to improve the human condition, it is not enough to help those who suffer. The majority of "normal" people also need examples and advice to reach a richer and more fulfilling existence. (Seligman & Csikszentmihalyi, 2000, p10)

Psychologists believe they have come a long way with the empirical psychometric measurement of happiness but Ed Diener suggests that the United States should have an National Index of Happiness because 'societies need to afford the same importance to subjective well-being (happiness) as they do now to economics: tracking the phenomenon, supporting research to understand it, and educating people about it' (Diener, 2000, p41). Diener thinks psychology needs to do more measuring, more surveillance of "normal" individuals.

So psychology has empirically based knowledge that certain personal characteristics influence happiness, they suggest these can be taught, and happiness influences health and productivity.

These are knowledges generated in academia but they're also truths that move out into popular culture partially through the discourse of practicing psychologists and partially through less formal, less legitimately knowable texts; it's hard for the media to resist a good sound bite when the study is on that elusive reified state called happy.

dailyillini.com
Independent Student Newspaper • University of Illinois

Get Smart

Friday April 12, 2002 > News > News Story

Professor tracks happiness levels

Christina Wall
The Daily Illini

Sophomore in LAS Mike Cozzi thought he was very happy. But after taking a short survey developed by psychology professor Ed Diener, he found out he was about average on the happiness scale.

"I would have said I was above average," Cozzi said. "But it's normal for a person to want to change things in their life. There is always room for improvement."

Diener has been conducting these types of studies on adults of all ages. Diener is one of the few professors in the United States researching happiness as part of the positive psychological movement that has



Liz Smith The Daily Illini

When Mike Cozzi asked himself, 'Am I happy?' Mike Cozzi answered, 'Yes. You are very happy.' But Ed Diener could assure him, via a valid, reliable psychometric instrument with the scientific truth that he wasn't very happy at all. So now when Mike Cozzi asks himself, 'Am I

happy?' Ed Diener has provided him with the room to doubt his own answer and the opportunity to improve in that room.

Do not ask to whom the truth is told it is told to thee...

For Foucault the production of scientific truths about all facets of 'life' and life processes is no longer an abstract or formal problem: it directly concerns the way we live and the ways in which we understand or experience those processes. It is not enough to hope that a 'better' truth is on its way. But neither can we abandon belief in these truths, for they concern our very material existence: our experience of pleasure, illness pain, suffering, joy and so on. (McHoul & Grace, 1993, p83)

In this thesis I am not looking for new truths about happiness nor trying to falsify old truths.

Each time I have attempted to do theoretical work it has been on the basis of elements from my experience – always in relation to processes that I saw taking place around me. It is in fact because I thought I recognised something cracked, dully jarring or disfunctioning in things I saw in the institutions in which I dealt in my relations with others, that I undertook a particular piece of work. (Foucault, 1985, cited in Tamboukou, 2003)

Like Foucault, I take up this work because I think I recognise something dully jarring in the way the 'Science of Happiness' is producing scientific knowledge and forming scientific truths about happiness. Like the truth told to Mike Cozzi that he is only average, truths can produce new spaces and shapes for the bodies of its subject, the objects of its study, to bend into. The Science of Happiness seeks to shape me happy because it thinks I should be.

This work I take up is a genealogical work.

Foucault's genealogical method reflects on the past to see more clearly what we are in the present and how what we are seeks to constrain what we may become in the future...It is a process of becoming otherwise than we are through the agonistic use of reason. (Ashenden & Owen, 1999, p16)

In this work I am trying to see the present, the truths I am told, through the lens of the otherwise. I am trying to ask what effect these told truths about happiness have on the ever-tropic process of becoming of the modern subject.

This thesis will consider first the location from which it is written, the current state of the science of happiness, and the Foucauldian genealogical methodology. It will then document a genealogical investigation of the present science of happiness and consider the spaces opened up for becoming otherwise.